

# Joint Audit and Governance Committee



Report of Head of Finance

Author: Rhona Bellis, Principal Accountant

Telephone: 01235 422497

Textphone: 18001 01235 422497

E-mail: [rhona.bellis@southandvale.gov.uk](mailto:rhona.bellis@southandvale.gov.uk)

SODC cabinet member responsible: Councillor Jane Murphy

Telephone: **07970 932054**

E-mail: [jane.murphy@southoxon.gov.uk](mailto:jane.murphy@southoxon.gov.uk)

VWHDC cabinet member responsible: Councillor Matthew Barber

Telephone: **07816 481452**

E-mail: [matthew.barber@whitehorsedc.gov.uk](mailto:matthew.barber@whitehorsedc.gov.uk)

To: Joint Audit and Governance Committee

DATE: 4 July 2016 by Joint Audit and Governance Committee

6 Oct 16(S) / 12 Aug 16 (V) by Cabinet

13 Oct 16 (S) / 12 Oct 16 (V) by Council

## Treasury Outturn 2015-16

That Joint Audit and Governance Committee:

1. notes the treasury management outturn report 2015/16,
2. is satisfied that the treasury activities are carried out in accordance with the treasury management strategy and policy, and
3. make any comments and recommendations to Cabinets as necessary.

That Cabinet:

Considers any comments from Joint Audit and Governance Committee and recommends Council to:

1. approve the treasury management outturn report for 2015/16;
2. approve the actual 2015/16 prudential indicators within the report.

## Purpose of report

1. This report fulfils the legislative requirements to ensure the adequate monitoring and reporting of the treasury management activities and that the councils' prudential indicators are reported to the councils at the end of the year. The report provides details of the treasury activities for the financial year 2015/16.
2. This complies with the requirements of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA's) Code of Practice on Treasury Management (revised) 2009.

## Strategic objectives

3. Effective treasury management is required in order to meet our strategic objective of managing our business effectively. Managing the finances of the authorities in accordance with the treasury management strategy will help to ensure resources are available to deliver our services and meet the councils' other strategic objectives.

## Background

4. The councils' treasury activities are strictly regulated by legislation. The CIPFA Prudential Code and CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice require a report to be provided to the councils at the end of the financial year.
5. This report provides details on the treasury activity and performance for 2015/16 against prudential indicators and benchmarks set for the year in the 2015/16 treasury management strategy (TMS), approved by each council in February 2015. Each council is required to approve this report.
6. Capita Asset Services are the councils' retained treasury advisors.
7. There are three types of investment the performance of which is covered in this report
  - a. True Treasury investments – these investments are primarily for generating interest for the councils. Examples of these are loans to banks or other local authorities. It also includes investments in property funds.
  - b. Non-treasury loans – these are loans to third parties, which earn a return, but they do not fall under the strict definition of a treasury investment.
  - c. Property investments - both councils have investment properties let on commercial bases. The primary purpose of holding these assets is for investment purposes and they are not part of regeneration schemes.
8. The councils continue to invest with regard for security, liquidity and yield, in that order.

## Economic conditions and factors effecting investment returns during 2015/16

9. UK bank base rates have remained at an historic low of 0.5 per cent since 2009. Capita Asset Services provide a regular forecast of interest rates and the latest forecast is reproduced in **appendix A**. This forecast shows that base rates are expected to continue at low levels for the near future. There are a number of reasons for this assumption, including the spare capacity in the UK economy supressing inflationary forces and uncertainty over the heavily geared Chinese economy.
10. The TMS makes clear that investment priority is given to the security of principal in the first instance. As a result, investments have only been made with counterparties of high credit quality and low risk. Since the global banking crisis and the downgrading of the credit ratings of many banks, it has become increasingly difficult to place money as institutions with high credit ratings have been offering lower rates.
11. Average treasury investment balances were higher for both councils than expected in the year. This arose from a combination of accumulated revenue and capital surpluses/slippage and unbudgeted grant receipts. More cash to invest has been a factor in the surplus of treasury investment income over budget in the year.
12. Investments that have helped to keep yields up for both councils include longer term investments taken out when rates were higher, the CCLA property fund at both councils, and the Unit Trusts at South.
13. Outlook for 2016/17 – as discussed above, interest rates are expected to remain low for the near future. In order to reduce risk efforts are being made to rebalance the treasury portfolio to reduce the value held by building societies. Other counterparties being considered are high rated foreign banks, other councils and treasury bills.

## Summary of investment activities during 2015/16

14. Prudential limits (security). During the year none of the prudential code limits set each year in the TMS were exceeded. Both councils are required by the Prudential Code to report on the limits set each year in the TMS. The purpose of these limits is to ensure that the activity of the treasury function remains within certain parameters, thereby mitigating risk and reducing the impact of an adverse movement in interest rates. However, if these limits are set to be too restrictive they may impair the opportunities to reduce costs/improve performance. These limits are shown in **appendix B**.
15. The benchmark for liquidity is the Weighted Average Life (WAL) of treasury investments in days, which sets an indicator for how long investments should be made. Both councils exceeded the benchmark for WAL but were well within the acceptable ranges as set out in the TMS for 2015/16. The benchmarks for liquidity are set to ensure that sufficient funds can be accessed at short notice. These are set as targets and not definitive limits.
16. Yield - the performance of the two councils is summarised in the tables below.

South		Treasury investments £000	Non treasury loan £000	Sub Total £000	Property investment £000	Overall total £000
1	Average investment balance <sup>1</sup>	117,525	15,000	132,525	8,950	141,475
2	Budgeted investment income <sup>2</sup>	1,465	623	2,088		
3	Gross investment income	1,826	624	2,450	774	3,224
4	surplus/(deficit) (3) - (2)	361	1	362		
5	Rate of return (3) ÷ (1)	1.55%	4.16%	1.85%	8.65%	2.28%

Vale		Treasury investments £000	Property investment £000	Overall total £000
1	Average investment balance <sup>1</sup>	42,804	8,210	51,014
2	Budgeted investment income <sup>2</sup>	411		
3	Gross investment income	542	542	1,084
4	surplus/(deficit) (3) - (2)	131		
5	Rate of return (3) ÷ (1)	1.27%	6.6%	2.12%

17. Both councils have exceeded their treasury budgeted investment income this year in terms of both actual income against budget and rates of return against benchmark. As benchmarks are quite detailed, they are not included above, but are included in the appendices that follow this report.

18. Detailed reports on the treasury activities for each council and performance for 2015/16 against prudential indicators and benchmarks set for the year in the 2015/16 are contained in **appendix C** – South Oxfordshire DC and **appendix D** – Vale of White Horse DC.

19. A detailed list of both councils' treasury investments as at 31 March 2016 is shown at **appendix E**.

## Debt activity during 2015/16

20. During 2015/16, there has not been a need for either council to borrow and both councils continue to take a prudent approach to their debt strategy. The prudential indicators and limits set out in **appendix B** provide the scope and flexibility for the Council to borrow in the short-term if such a need arose for cash flow purposes to support the council(s) in the achievement of their service objectives.

## Financial implications

21. The treasury investments made in 2015/16 ensured that both councils exceeded their budgeted targets for treasury investment income. Income earned from investments is used to support the councils' medium term financial plans and

<sup>1</sup> For property the balance shown is the fair value of investment properties at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016

<sup>2</sup> The budget for investment properties is not separately identified in ELP's budget

contributes to the councils' balances, or supports the in-year expenditure programmes.

22. Looking forward, income is anticipated to remain stable with any increase due to rises in market rates offset by a general reduction in the balances available to invest. This will be reflected in the councils' 2017/18 budgets and medium term financial plans.

### **Legal implications**

23. There are no significant legal implications. Compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services and the DCLG Local Government Investment Guidance provides assurance that the councils' investments are, and will continue to be, within their legal powers.

### **Conclusion**

24. Despite a difficult operating environment, both councils continued to make investments during 2015/16 that maintained security and liquidity whilst providing a return that exceeded market benchmarks.

### **Background papers**

- Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accounting (CIPFA) code of practice for treasury management in the public sector.
- DCLG Local Government Investment Guidance
- CIPFA treasury management in the public services code of practice and cross sectoral guidance notes
- Treasury Management Strategy 2015/16 – Councils in February 2015.

### **Appendices**

- A. Interest rate forecasts
- B. Prudential limits
- C. SODC – Treasury activities 2015-2016
- D. VWHDC – Treasury activities 2015-2016
- E. Treasury investments as at 31 March 2016
- F. Glossary of terms